

Fig. 1.—Log $[\eta]$ vs. log M_2 for sodium polyphosphate in: (1) 0.35 M NaBr; (2) 0.415 M NaBr.

When theoretical expressions for β_{22} and β_{23} become available, equation 14 should become useful in relating molecular dimensions to charge and electrolyte concentration.⁴⁶

In the meantime we may look upon equation 11 as an empirical equation which in form reproduces the experimental data. The intercept, f, which may be considered as a measure of the excluded

(46) The last term in equation 14 can be obtained rigorously from membrane equilibrium data, if β_{23} is known. From literature data (H. S. Harned and C. C. Crawford, THIS JOURNAL, **59**, 1903 (1937)), $(2 + \beta_{31}m_3)$ is found to be equal to 1.85 over the range investigated here. Then, with the membrane equilibrium data, the expression in braces in equation 14 simplifies to $\{[(i^2 - 0.025)/m_3] + (\beta_{22}/P^2)\}$ for our system. Using a realistic value for *i*, *e.g.*, one estimated from electrophoresis results, one finds that the term inside the brackets is an order of magnitude larger than the total experimental value of the expression inside the braces. Thus β_{22}/P^2 must be of opposite sign to the first term and also very large. Finally, to account for the slope of the line in Fig. 2 by equation 14, it is necessary that β_{22}/P^2 should have a large componenent which is inversely proportional to m_3 .



Fig. 2.—Dependence of the molecular expansion coefficient, α , of sodium polyphosphate (NaPP-7) on the sodium bromide molarity, m_{2} .

volume of the hypothetical uncharged polymer, is equal to $-6.9 \times 10^{-3.47}$ This result confirms the solvent incompatibility of associated (NaPO₃) groups which has previously been hypothesized to account for differences in both intrinsic viscosity and salting-out behavior of polyphosphates in the presence of different alkali metal ions.²⁵

(47) Such a large negative value so far has been reported only for neutralized polyacrylic acid in solutions of calcium salts (P. J. Flory and J. E. Osterheld, J. Phys. Chem., **58**, 653 (1954)), but not for anionic polyelectrolytes in solutions of sodium salts where the intercept usually is close to zero. This also explains why the difficulty which we encountered in attempting to extrapolate the molecular dimensions to infinite ionic strength has not been observed in other polyelectrolytesalt systems.

New Brunswick, New Jersey

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY]

The Spectrum and Structure of Disiloxane¹

By R. F. Curl, Jr.,² and Kenneth S. Pitzer Received November 25, 1957

The infrared absorption spectrum of disiloxane in argon and nitrogen matrices at 20°K. was investigated. The region covered was from 4000 to 600 cm.⁻¹. The band at 764 cm.⁻¹ in the gas phase is found to have two components in the matrix. The Raman band at 1009 cm.⁻¹ appears in the infrared spectrum of the matrix. A Si-O-Si bond angle of less than 180° is proposed to explain these results. The Si-O-Si bond angle is estimated to be near 155°.

It is now well established that the typical Si-O-Si bond angle is relatively large—near 160° rather

(1) This research was assisted by the American Petroleum Institute through Research Project 50.

(2) National Science Foundation Fellow, 1954-1957.

than the range of 110° characteristic of many systems. The evidence includes the dipole moment measurements on methylsiloxanes by Sauer and Mead³ and the crystal structure studies of crys-(3) R. O. Sauer and D. J. Mead, THIS JOURNAL, **68**, 1794 (1946). tobalite by Nieuwenkamp.⁴ These investigations obtained the values $160 \pm 15^{\circ}$ and 150° , respectively. Also the study of octamethylspiro(5.5)-pentasiloxane by Roth and Harker⁵ indicates that the unstrained Si–O–Si angle must be equal to or greater than the 130° value found in the planar rings of this compound.

While these values are all substantially less than 180° , Lord, Robinson and Schumb⁶ conclude that their spectral studies of disiloxane are compatible with a strictly linear Si-O-Si structure and they advance qualitative arguments that the deviation from linearity, if any, must be small. In contrast, Emeleus, MacDiarmid and Maddock⁷ concluded from the absence of resolvable structure in the 957 cm.⁻¹ band of disiloxane that the molecule was significantly bent.

There is no significant disagreement between the spectra found by the two groups of investigators, and indeed our results agree also; nevertheless, the conclusions disagree sharply. We have sought to resolve this question by measurements of the spectrum of disiloxane in inert matrices at 20°K., where the bands are much sharper. The results indicate a bent Si–O–Si structure.

Experimental

A sample of disiloxane was prepared by the method used by Lord, *et al.*, ⁶ except that SiH₂Br rather than SiH₂Cl was the intermediate. The gas phase infrared spectrum of the sample in the sodium chloride region agreed with the gas phase spectrum given by Lord, *et al.*⁶ The melting point of the sample was -144° . The melting point reported by Stock, Somieski and Wintgen⁸ is -144.1° .

The matrix isolation technique employed was developed in this Laboratory by Pimentel and Becker.⁹ A gaseous mixture of disiloxane and argon was prepared with a mole ratio of 1 to 830, respectively. One hundredth of a mole of the mixture was sprayed onto a CsBr window maintained at 20°K. by liquid hydrogen. The spray-on time was 30 minutes. In a similar experiment with a nitrogen matrix, the ratio of moles of nitrogen to moles of disiloxane was 990; the amount of 0.01 mole; and the time of spray on was 1 hr. and 14 minutes. The matrix spectra are short in Fig. 1. The inconsistency between extinction coefficients, say of the 1100 cm.⁻¹ band, calculated from the two



(4) W. Nieuwenkamp, Z. Krist., 92, 82 (1935); 96, 454 (1948).

(5) W. L. Roth and D. Harker, Acta Cryst., 1, 34 (1948).

(6) R. C. Lord, D. W. Robinson and W. C. Schumb, THIS JOURNAL, 78, 1327 (1956).

(7) H. J. Emeleus, A. G. MacDiarmid and A. G. Maddock, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 1, 194 (1955).

(8) A. Stock, C. Somieski and R. Wintgen, Ber., 50, 1754 (1917).
(9) E. Becker and G. C. Pimentel, J. Chem. Phys., 25, 224 (1956).

spectra probably is due to a change of orientation of the spray-on tip with consequent different distributions of sample thickness. The frequencies of the bands observed in the gas phase and in both matrices are listed in Table I.

TABLE	I
-------	---

Infrared Spectra of Disiloxane

(Absorption maxima, cm. ⁻¹)				
Gas phase	A Matrix	N: Matrix	Intensity	
	714 (?)		vvw	
764	748	746	vs	
	759	762	vs	
937	943	947	vvs	
	9 64	958	vvs	
^a	1011	1007	w	
1107	1119	1104	vs	
1220			w (?)	
1700	1711		vw	
2180	2211	2213	vs	
••	2338 (?)	••	vvw	
		1000		

^a There is a Raman band at 1009.

An inconclusive effort was made to find the low frequency Si-O-Si bending mode. If the band is above 165 cm.⁻¹, it must be very weak, since no distinct absorption band was observed with a 70 cm. cell at 41 mm. pressure. Our search at longer wave lengths (down to 40 cm.⁻¹) was less complete but no positive evidence was obtained. Some absorptions were noted at very low frequency, but we felt they were too narrow to be the Si-O-Si bending motion.

The search at longer wave lengths was made possible by the very kind coöperation of Mr. Robert Ohlmann of the Physics Department. He carried out the search on a grating instrument which he has constructed.

Discussion

It is quite clear that most features of the disiloxane spectrum may be explained on the basis of a linear, D_{3d} , model. However, most of the features of the spectrum of dimethyl ether¹⁰ also can be explained on such a basis even though the C–O–C bond angle is 111°. The two spectra are very similar in the small number of strong bands—a feature which indicates high symmetry. Thus, if the Si–O–Si bond angle is near 150° as indicated in other studies, the spectrum of disiloxane can be expected to differ only very slightly from that for the linear model.

Two features appeared in the matrix spectra of disiloxane which conflict with the linear model. The band at 764 cm.⁻¹ in the gas phase is assigned to the E_u degenerate SiH₃ rocking motion. The narrowing of the bands in the matrix shows this to be two separate bands separated by about 10 cm.⁻¹. One is to be assigned to the in-plane motion and the other to the out-of-plane motion where the plane is defined by the non-linear Si-O-Si structure.

The other feature is the appearance of the weak band at 1010 cm.⁻¹. This is the totally symmetric SiH₃ deformation frequency which appears strongly in the Raman spectrum. In the gas phase infrared spectrum the very strong 957 cm.⁻¹ band is broad enough to conceal a weak band at 1010 cm.⁻¹. However, the matrix spectra show it unmistakably. Since gas phase selection rules do not hold rigorously in condensed phases, the appearance of this band is contributory evidence rather than proof that the Si–O–Si linkage is nonlinear.

(10) B. L. Crawford and L. Joyce, ibid., 7, 307 (1939).

 $\frac{I_{\rm i}}{I_0} = \cot^2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$

where

 α = the Si-O-Si bond angle

 I_i = intensity of the in-phase deformation

 I_0 = intensity of the out-of-phase deformation

Both the out-of-phase symmetrical deformation and the out-of-phase unsymmetrical deformation were assigned by Lord, *et al.*, to the gas phase band at 957 cm.⁻¹. In the matrix this band is found to be split into a doublet. Which component of this doublet should be identified with the out-of-phase symmetrical deformation has not been determined. Therefore, in order to estimate the minimum bond angle the less intense component (964 cm.⁻¹ in argon) was chosen. The estimate of the minimum bond angle obtained is 152° in argon, 158° in nitrogen. The peak intensity was used in the estimate rather than the integrated intensity. This, together with other approximations already mentioned, limits the accuracy of these values.

It should be noted that the band at 1220 cm.^{-1} in the gas phase is not observed in the matrix spectrum. In our opinion no really satisfactory explanation of this band has been found. We, therefore, shall not discuss its disappearance.

It is possible to estimate the Si–O–Si bond angle from the splitting of the 764 cm.⁻¹ SiH₃ wagging frequency if certain simplifying assumptions are made.

When the molecule is linear the F and G (potential energy and reciprocal kinetic energy) matrices may be factored into several blocks corresponding to the representations of the D_{3d} group. The two components of the SiH₃ E_u wag are in two separate identical blocks. As the molecule is bent off-diagonal elements appear in both the F and G matrices which connect certain blocks. There still remain, however, two separate blocks by symmetry, of which one contains one component of the 764 cm.⁻¹ band and the other block contains the other component. It is assumed that the offdiagonal elements which might appear in the F inatrix when the molecule is bent are zero since the forces which would yield such elements are expected to be very small. Some of the corresponding elements in the G matrix are non-zero and are considered. The effect of these off-diagonal elements in the G matrix is calculated by use of secondorder perturbation. There are also first order perturbation elements in both the F and G matrices which can be included. The H-H repulsion between silyl groups is a first-order perturbation in the F matrix. It is estimated by using the potential function developed by Hirschfelder and Linnett¹¹ for the triplet state of hydrogen. This is divided by two as required by valence bond theory. Also the effect of any mixing of the SiH₃ wag with the E SiH₃ deformation is neglected.

The bond angle calculated from the splitting in this manner is 157° in the case of argon and 150° in the case of nitrogen. Thus we have a reversal between the estimates based upon the argon and nitrogen matrix data from this splitting as compared to the estimate of the bond angle obtained from the intensity of the 1009 cm.⁻¹ band. The estimate of the bond angle obtained from the splitting of the 764 cm.⁻¹ band can be called neither an upper or lower limit to the bond angle since the effects of the neglected force constants depend upon their sign. Nevertheless, the various estimates fall in the range $150-158^{\circ}$.

These results apply directly to the disiloxane molecule in the matrix whereas other data refer to the gas molecule. One may ask whether the matrix bends the molecule. If the matrix is crystalline, with cubic closest packing, linear cavities appear to be easiest to form. Thus a straightening of the molecule seems more likely than a bending. If the matrix is glassy, broadening of the bands and not splitting is expected in analogy to liquid solution. Thus we believe there is evidence for a nonlinear Si-O-Si structure in the free molecules as well as in the matrices.

The matrix isolation method promises to be a valuable tool for determination of vibrational assignments. The experiment described here is one of the first applications of the matrix isolation method for this purpose. At the dilution employed interactions between the solute molecules should be quite small, and it is hoped that the solute molecules behave as a non-rotating gas at liquid hydrogen temperature in so far as their infrared spectrum is concerned. Thus vibrational bands are very sharp and overlapping bands may be resolved where they could not be in the gas phase. Nevertheless the possible effects of solutematrix interactions must be kept in mind.

BERKELEY 4, CALIF.

⁽¹¹⁾ J. O. Hirschfelder and J. W. Linnett, J. Chem. Phys., 18, 130 (1951).